UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,094	05/01/2007	Jun Ho Lee	05-520-B	1747	
	7590 12/22/2009 NNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP		EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKE	300 S. WACKER DRIVE			PATEL, JAY P	
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2466		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/586,094	LEE, JUN HO			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAY P. PATEL	2466			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>					
after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
The examiner called Mr. Robert Irvine to obtain the been transferred back inhouse to the applicant; how					
/Daniel J. Ryman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2466					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to					